

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MA RETMENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/842,166	04/26/2001	Edward W. Merrill	37697-0035	7738	
26633	7590 05/12/2003				
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP 1666 K STREET,NW SUITE 300			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			MILLER, CHERYL L		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738	, 2	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	1)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/842,166	MERRILL ET AL.				
/.u//.co.y /.cc	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cheryl Miller	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for the statu	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
 arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF). 						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below):				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	·					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	•	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 124-136						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-123 and	<u>137-149</u> .					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
0. Other:	-					
		Anglemais				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Independent claims 124 and 132 were proposed to be amended to incorporate new limitations including wherein the multiple melting peaks are a result of irradiation-generated heat, therby reducing crystallinity of the ultra high molecular weight polyethylene, which raise new issues that require further consideration.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): by proof of claimed subject matter present in parent application 08/726,313, filed October 2, 1996, the art of McKellop and Saum is disqualified as prior art and applicant has overcome the rejections of claims 124-136 based on this reason.

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER